

MINUTES



EVALUATION REVIEW COMMITTEE

of the PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
ADVISORY BOARD

ERC

- April 07, 2020
- 2:00pm – 4:00pm
- <https://ksde.zoom.us/j/287717821>
- Kansas State Department of Education

Members in Attendance via Zoom

X	Dr. Jean Dockers, Co-Chair	X	Dr. Jill Gonzalez-Bravo	X	Dr. Leticia Porter
X	Dr. Kathleen Sanders, Co-Chair	X	Dr. Stephanie McNemar	X	Dr. John Rhodes
X	Ms. Selena Eckstrom	X	Mr. Daniel Minde	X	Ms. Shauna Tinich
X	Mr. Brian Gee		Dr. Steve Noble		Dr. Brent Wolf

KSDE Staff: Catherine Chmidling

Guest: Idalia Schuman, KNEA

Call meeting to order

Kathleen Sanders, Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:02pm.

Approval of Minutes from January 30, 2020

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes/Gonzalez-Bravo) to approve the January 30, 2020 minutes as-written.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Approval of Agenda for April 7, 2020

Motion: It was M/S by consensus to approve the April 7, 2020 agenda as-written.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Committee Deliberations and Actions

- **Benedictine College:** 2019-2020 Programs for Art; Biology; Building Leadership; Elementary; English 6-12; Foreign Language (French, Spanish); Health; High Incidence; History, Government, and Social Studies 6-12; Physical Education; Physics.
- **Newman University** –2018-2019 Program for History Government Social Studies 6-12.
- **Ottawa University:** 2018-2019 Program for Biology; 2019-2020 Program for History Government Social Studies 6-12
- **Friends University:** 2019-2020 Program for History Government Social Studies 6-12
- **University of Kansas:** 2019-2020 Programs for Art; English Language Arts 6-12; Low Incidence; Mathematics 6-12; Music; Physical Education; School Psychologist

Recommendations for Benedictine College (Program Reviews)

Art I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Motion: It was M/S (Minde / Tinich) to recommend “Approved” status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Biology I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-4, 6, 8-16, 18

None

Standard 5 (MET)

AFI 5.1: BIOL 3354 cannot be used to assess the standard.

Rationale 5.2: BIOL 3354 is not a required course. Since it is not a required course, it cannot be used to assess the standard.

Motion: It was M/S (Gonzalez-Bravo / Gee) to Continue AFI 5.1 and retain the status of “MET” on Standard 5.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

Standard 7 (MET)

AFI 7.1: Assessment 6 and 7 does not address the standard to its entirety.

Rationale 7.1: Assessment 6 and 7 does not address processes related to health issues and human sexuality.

Motion: It was M/S (Minde / Dockers) to Continue AFI 7.1 and retain the status of “MET” on Standard 7.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

AFI 17.1: Assessment 3 is not fully aligned to the standard.

Rationale 17.1: Assessment 3 does not address laboratory safety.

Motion: It was M/S (Tinich / Eckstrom) to Continue AFI 17.1 and retain the status of “MET” on Standard 7.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

Motion: It was M/S (Minde / Tinich) to recommend “Approved” status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

Building Leadership, A PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-6

None

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Eckstrom) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Elementary I, K-6 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / Gonzalez-Bravo) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

English Language Arts I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Motion: It was M/S (Tinich / Minde) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Foreign Language I, PreK-12 (French, Spanish) continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-8

None

Motion: It was M/S (Minde / McNemar) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Health I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-2, 4

None

Standard 3 (MET)

AFI 3.1: Assessment 3 CFAST criteria F & H do not align with the standard.

Rationale: The criteria address collaboration and candidate response to feedback, but do not address candidates' ability to communicate with P12 students: "uses knowledge of effective verbal, nonverbal and media communication techniques to foster inquiry, collaboration and engagement". [AFI 3.1 not addressed in rejoinder.]

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / Gonzalez-Bravo) to Continue AFI 3.1 and to retain the status of "MET" on Standard 3.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Tinich) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

High Incidence A, K-6, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-8

None

Motion: It was M/S (Gonzalez-Bravo / Rhodes) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

History, Government, and Social Studies I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-10

None

Motion: It was M/S (Tinich / McNemar) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Physical Education I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Motion: It was M/S (Eckstrom / Rhodes) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Physics I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Motion: It was M/S (McNemar / Dockers) to recommend "Approved" status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Recommendations for Newman University (Program Review)

History Government Social Studies I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 2, 4-6, 8-10

None

Standard 1 (MET)

AFI 1.1: Data are not disaggregated for all assessments.

Rationale 1.1: The report cites part 1 of Assessment 2 specifically as meeting this standard, but the data are for the assessment as a whole. Rejoinder Note: Disaggregated data for Assessment 2 could not be found.

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / McNemar) to continue AFI 1.1 and retain the status of "MET" on Standard 1.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Standard 3 (MET)

AFI 3.1: Data are not disaggregated for all assessments.

Rationale 3.1: The report cites part 1 of Assessment 2 specifically as meeting this standard, but the data are for the assessment as a whole. Rejoinder Note: Disaggregated data for Assessment 2 could not be found.

Motion: It was M/S (Gonzalez-Bravo / Rhodes) to continue AFI 3.1 and retain the status of "MET" on Standard 3.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Standard 7 (NOT MET)

AFI 7.1: Standard is not covered in its entirety.

Rationale 7.1: The course in American government course cited in Assessment 5 does not clearly cover governmental systems beyond the United States. Rejoinder Note: It is unclear how adding the statement "is 'at standard' for all criteria on the candidate's final submission" to the bottom of the Assessment 2 satisfies AFI 7.1.

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / Dockers) to continue AFI 7.1 and retain the status of "NOT MET" for Standard 7.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Minde) to recommend "Approved" status through June 30, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Recommendations for Ottawa University (Program Reviews)

Biology I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-5, 7-10

None

Standard 6 (Not Met)

AFI 6.1: Assessment 2 does not align to the standard.

Rationale 6.1: Assessment 2 does not address practices of engineering or technology.

Motion: It was M/S (Eckstrom / Gonzalez-Bravo) to continue AFI 6.1 and retain the status of "NOT MET" for Standard 6.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

AFI 6.2: Assessment 6 does not align to the standard.

Rationale 6.2: Assessment 6 does not address practices of engineering or technology.

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Minde) to continue AFI 6.2 and retain the status of "NOT MET" for Standard 6.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / McNemar) to recommend "Approved" status through June 30, 2026.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

History Government Social Studies I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-5, 8-10

None

Standard 6 (NOT MET)

AFI 6.1: The standard is not covered in its entirety.

AFI 6.1 Rationale: While the rejoinder explained that current professional issues are covered in the lesson planning activities in EDU 34500, neither the cited assessments nor the syllabus submitted make this clear.

Motion: It was M/S (Minde / Rhodes) to continue AFI 6.1 and retain the status of "NOT MET" for Standard 6.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Standard 7 (NOT MET)

AFI 7.1: HPS 13353 American Government does not cover the standard in its entirety. "Governmental systems of ... other nations" is not addressed.

AFI 7.1 Rationale: The course speaks to the structure of the government of the United States. There is one assignment which relates to another nation. While the rejoinder notes that the textbook includes some information about other governmental structures, there are no assessments aligned to it other than one sub-score from Praxis II. This will create a problem for the program when it comes up for review again and must provide data from assessments. Assessment 1 (Praxis sub-scores) can be cited but cannot stand alone. If there are no other assessments to provide data, the standard will not be met.

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Gonzalez-Bravo) to continue AFI 7.1 and retain the status of "NOT MET" for Standard 7.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / Dockers) to recommend "Approved" status through June 30, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Recommendations for Friends University (Program Review)

History Government Social Studies I, 6-12 new

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 2-10

None

Standard 1 (MET)

AFI 1.1: ~~Rubrics are generic.~~

AFI 1.1 Rationale: ~~The rubrics are designed for all programs; however, some of them are done in the social studies methods class which allows for meeting the standard. One of them also speaks directly to content concepts and relationships. The criteria are aligned to the KSDE standards at the department level rather than the program.~~

Group discussion of AFI 1.1.

AFI 1.1 to be deleted [vote below] and replaced with a Note:

“Note: Rubrics were EPP-wide and referenced “content” but did not identify History/Government/Social Studies content knowledge. EPP-wide assessments could be edited to reference the constructs of the content area(s) of the candidate. EPP-wide common assessments are typical.”

Catherine is encouraged to add to program reviewer trainings information addressing the acceptability of using of generic rubrics when accompanied by content-specific narrative regarding their use. Reviewer training should also emphasize that pedagogical assessment of pedagogical standards does not need to be content-specific. Catherine is also encouraged to discuss these issues during training for EPPs preparing for program reviews.

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Minde) to remove AFI 1.1 and replace it with the Note above.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

Motion: It was M/S (Dockers / Gonzalez-Bravo) to recommend “New Program Approved with Stipulation” status through June 30, 2022.

Motion carried: 9 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstained (Rhodes)

New programs may be approved-with-stipulation for 2 years during which they are operationalized. A progress report is due after the second semester of operation to address the new program stipulation.

Recommendations for University of Kansas (Program Reviews)

Art I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

English Language Arts I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Low Incidence A, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Mathematics I, 6-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Music I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

Physical Education I, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

School Psychologist A, PreK-12 continuing

Areas for Improvement:

Standards 1-7

None

For all the above listed KU programs with no AFIs,

Motion: It was M/S (Rhodes / Minde) recommend “**Approved**” status through December 31, 2026.

Motion carried: 10 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstained

Discussion of creating a consent agenda

Committee members recommended creating a consent agenda of programs with no AFIs, and members can pull items for discussion as-desired. A consent agenda is to be piloted for the June 2020 ERC agenda.

Adjourn

Kathi Sanders declared the meeting ended, 2:55pm.

End of April 7, 2020 minutes